# Theoretical studies on reaction mechanism of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ with COS 

Riguang Zhang•Lixia Ling•Baojun Wang

Received: 23 November 2009 / Accepted: 29 January 2010 /Published online: 17 March 2010
(C) Springer-Verlag 2010


#### Abstract

The reaction mechanisms of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS have been investigated theoretically by using density function theory method. Three possible pathways leading to major products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, as well as two possible pathways leading to by-product $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ have been proposed and discussed. For these reaction pathways, the structure parameters, vibrational frequencies and energies for each stationary point have been calculated, and the corresponding reaction mechanism has been given by the potential energy surface, which is drawn according to the relative energies. The calculated results show that the corresponding major products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ as well as by-product $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ are in agreement with experimental findings, which provided a new illustration and guidance for the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS.
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## Introduction

Carbonyl sulfide (OCS) commonly exists in coke oven gas, coal making gas, natural gas, petroleum refining exhaust gases, the flue gas, vehicle exhaust and Claus tail gases [1-4]. In the processes of manufacturing, OCS not only leads to corrosion of the reaction equipments but also results in the deactivation of catalysts [4, 5]. To avoid equipment corrosion and catalyst poisoning, the main

[^0]technologies including catalytic hydrolysis, oxidation conversion, and hydrogenation conversion, etc. [6, 7] have been used for the removal of OCS. Among these methods, hydrogenation conversion of OCS to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ was the most principal technology. Especially, for the removal of COS from the hydrogen-rich gases, hydrogenation conversion shows very high conversion efficiency for OCS and adequately makes use of hydrogen existing in hydrogen-rich gases [8, 9]. However, a major challenge associated with hydrogenation conversion of OCS is the formation of undesirable by-product $\mathrm{CH}_{4}[6,8]$. Up to now, to the best of our knowledge, few theoretical explanations for this experimental fact were reported, and the reasons why CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ are the main products and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is a by-product are not illustrated. Therefore, it is of great significance to study the reaction mechanism of OCS with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. For a detailed understanding of the reaction mechanism of OCS hydrogenation conversion, experimental information is however not always sufficient and accompanying theoretical calculations can be helpful to clarify some questions. Quantum chemical methods have become new tools for determining reaction mechanisms. With recent developments, density functional theory (DFT) is capable of providing qualitative and quantitative insights into reaction mechanism [10]. Nowadays, literature [11] has reported the similar reaction of H with OCS, the calculations results provide the first set of structural data that detail the reaction mechanisms for H with OCS going to SH and CO or OH and CS , which substantiate experimental hypotheses of the existence of stable, fourbody reaction intermediates, as well as tight four-body transition states leading to products [12]. Zhang et al. [13] have investigated the reaction of CN radical with OCS by using ab initio MO method, six possible product channels have been discussed, the calculated results show that the
reaction channel of producing CO and NCS is the main reaction channel.

In our studies, we will focus mostly on the theoretical studies about the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS by using DFT calculations, which will be helpful to deeply understand the mechanism in the views of quantum chemistry. Calculated results are expected to explain the experimental findings and give a new illustration and guidance for the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS that could not be reached experimentally under the considered conditions.

## Computational methods

The structures of all the stationary points (reactants, intermediates, transition states, and products) involved in the reaction pathways of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with COS were fully optimized at the level of the general gradient approximation (GAA) using BLYP functional [14, 15]. The double-numeric quality basis set with polarization functions (DNP) was used here. The size of the DNP basis set is comparable to Gaussian $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$, but the DNP is more accurate than the Gaussian basis set of the same size. Moreover, this basis set is known to produce a small basis set super position error (BSSE) [16]. And the zero-point energy (ZPE) of these stationary points was determined. The reaction pathways have been examined by performing TS confirmation on most of the transition state structures to confirm that they lead to the desired reactants and products [17]. All calculations were performed with $\mathrm{Dmol}^{3}$ using the Materials studio program package on Pentium D PC [18, 19].

## Results and discussion

## Evaluation of the computational accuracy

To evaluate the reliability of the chosen level of theory, we calculated the bond dissociation energies (BDE) for several
species involved in the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS using several popular functionals in Dmol $^{3}$ program: GGA-BLYP, GGA-PW91 and LDA-PWC. The relevant results were listed in Table 1, where the available experimental and calculated values were also presented for comparison.

As presented in Table 1, by comparing these theoretical values with experimental findings, we found that BLYP functional gave relatively smaller absolute errors than PW91 and PWC functionals. Therefore, the calculated results from GGA-BLYP can be considered satisfactory, considering our main goals in the present work are the examination of the detailed reaction mechanism and the calculation of the relative energies of the species involved, not the calculation of accurate bond energies.

For the OCS molecule, we calculated its properties, including the geometric structures, vibrational frequencies, as well as $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond dissociation energies. The relevant results are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Clearly, the chosen level of theory reproduces all properties of the OCS molecule well. According to above evaluation, we are confident in the ability of the chosen level of theory to describe the features of the potential energy surface of the reaction $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS .

## Reaction mechanism

In the process of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and OCS approaching each other, various possible products can be formed when different atoms of the two reactant molecules interact in the reaction. Five possible pathways have been proposed for the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS, and it can be summarized as Scheme 1 describes.

The optimized geometries for the reactants, intermediates, transition states, products and their atomic number along the reaction pathways are shown schematically in Fig. 1. The corresponding total energies, zero-point energies, relative energies, barrier height together with imaginary frequency corresponding to transition states are listed in Table 3. The overall energetic profile for the

Table 1 Theoretical and experimental bond dissociation energies $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ref. [20] ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ref. [21] ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Ref. [22]
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Ref. [23]

| Species | Calculated |  |  | Experimental |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GGA-BLYP | GGA-PW91 | LDA-PWC | GGA-BLYP $^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |
| OC-S | 318.6 | 361.8 | 322.1 | 316.1 | $308.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| O-CS | 689.6 | 746.7 | 697.9 | 687.8 | $664.9 \pm 0.85^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| C-S | 701.0 | 725.9 | 686.7 | 699.6 | $712.2 \pm 0.85^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| O-C | 1079.3 | 1110.8 | 1062.5 | 1071.1 | $1076.4 \pm 0.67^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| H $_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | 439.1 | 438.5 | 458.8 | - | $439.9 \pm 0.40^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| HS-H | 384.1 | 352.9 | 349.4 | - | $381.4 \pm 0.50^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| HO-H | 484.1 | 493.9 | 478.5 | - | $497.1 \pm 0.29^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| H-H | 446.4 | 429.3 | 454.0 | - | $435.8^{\mathrm{b}}$ |

Table 2 Theoretical and experimental properties for the ground state OCS molecule ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}}$ | $\omega_{1}$ | $\omega_{2}$ | $\omega_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calculated $_{\text {GGA-BLYP }}$ | 1.579 | 1.176 | 2030 | 846 | 505 |
| Experimental $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 1.561 | 1.156 | 2072 | 866 | 520 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The symbols R and $\omega$ denote the bond length ( $\AA$ ) and vibrational frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), respectively.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Ref. [24].
reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS leading to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is presented in Fig. 2. Zero-point energies have been included in all cited energies.

R1~R8 is the reactant-like intermediate, in which two reactant molecules interact with each other by van der Waals force, and their geometries remain almost the same with the corresponding free molecules. P1~P5 is the product-like intermediate, in which the products interact in a similar way. R1~R8 are more stable than the original reactants.

Firstly, along reaction pathways of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS leading to products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, as shown in Scheme 1 and Fig. 1, it can be seen that when the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and S atom of OCS interacts in Path 1 through TS1, In TS1, the breaking C2S3 bond is $1.103 \AA$ longer than that of OCS, and the O 1 C 2 S 3 angle changes from $180.00^{\circ}$ in OCS to $129.63^{\circ}$. The original linear OCS molecule is distorted. The forming $\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{S} 3$ and $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{S} 3$ bond is $1.608 \AA$ and $1.853 \AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ bond shortens from 1.176 to $1.149 \AA$. The barrier height of TS1 relative to R1 is $363.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

When the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ attack the end S atom and center C atom of OCS in Path 2, R2 is converted to IM1 via a four-center TS2, in which H 4 and H 5 of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ interacts with C 2 and S 3 of


Scheme 1 The proposed mechanisms of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacting with OCS leading to products $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$

OCS to form a four-center ring among $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 4$. And the $\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 5$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{S} 3$ bonds elongate from 0.747 to $1.023 \AA$ and from 1.580 to $1.695 \AA$, respectively. The C2H4 and S3-H5 distances shorten from 3.449 to $1.498 \AA$ and from 3.621 to $1.746 \AA$, respectively. Moreover, the original linear OCS molecule is severely distorted. The O1C2S3 angle changes from $179.88^{\circ}$ in R2 to $145.68^{\circ}$. TS2 is $283.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ less stable compared to R2. IM1 is further converted to P2 via a concerted transition state TS3 of C2S3 bond cleavage and 1, 2-hydrogen migration from C 2 to S3. TS3 is located to be $222.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ above the reactants and $193.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ above forward intermediate IM1. So the rate determining step is $\mathrm{R} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 1$ via TS2 in Path 2 with an activation barrier of $283.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

Then, besides the above-mentioned two pathways, another possible reaction Path 3 were found as presented in Scheme 1, when the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ attack the end S and O atom of OCS, which results in the reactant-like intermediate R3. Then, R3 is converted to IM2 via a five-center TS4, in which H 4 and H 5 of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ interacts with O 1 and S 3 in OCS to form a five-center ring among $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 4$. The O 1 C 2 S 3 angle changes from $179.79^{\circ}$ in R3 to $119.42^{\circ}$. TS4 is $293.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than R3. IM2 is much higher in total energy than IM1, so IM2 is not stable, and quickly converted to P 3 leading to products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ via a concerted transition state TS5 of $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{S} 3$ bond cleavage and 1,3-hydrogen migration from O1 to S3. TS5 is predicated to be $76.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than forward intermediate IM2. Therefore, $\mathrm{R} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 2$ via TS4 is the rate determining step of Path 3 with an activation barrier of $293.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

From Fig. 2, it can been seen that the first reaction step proceeding via TS1, TS2 and TS4 is the rate determining step in Paths 1~3, and the corresponding activation barriers are $363.0,283.6$ and $293.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ relative to the reactant-like intermediate, respectively. In view of activation barriers, Path 1 is the most unfavorable pathway. The energy barrier of Path 2 is the lowest. Obviously, Path 2 is the main reaction pathways for the initial reaction of COS and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, which result in the products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. It can be seen that the activation barriers of Paths 2 and 3 are close; we guess that Paths 2 and 3 may be two-parallel reaction pathways resulting in the formation of CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. So the corresponding rate constants would be discussed in "The rate constant for the reaction paths 2 and $3 "$ below.

Next, along the reaction pathways of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS leading to the formation of by-product $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, as shown in Scheme 1. R2 $\rightarrow \mathrm{IM} 1$ in Path 4 is similar to Path 2. Besides 1, 2-hydrogen migration for IM1, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ can also react with IM1 to form IM4 via $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ addition to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond. The corresponding transition state is TS6, whose barrier height is $335.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ seen from Table 3.

Fig. 1 The optimized geometries for various species involved in the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS (IM-intermediate, TS-transition state). Bond lengths are in angstroms and angles in degree


When the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ attacks the end O atom and center C atom of OCS in Path 5, R4 is converted to IM3 via a four-center TS7, in which H 4 and H 5 of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ interacts with O 1 and C 2 of OCS to form a four-center ring among $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{H} 4$, the $\mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{H} 5$ and $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ bonds elongate from 0.748 to $1.024 \AA$ and from 1.176 to $1.278 \AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 4$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 5$ distances shorten from 3.260 to $1.327 \AA$ and from 3.255 to $1.439 \AA$, respectively. The original linear OCS molecule is
also distorted, and the O1C2S3 angle changes from $179.97^{\circ}$ in R4 to $145.69^{\circ}$. The barrier height of TS7 relative to R4 is $326.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. IM3 further interact with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to yield R6, R6 leads to the formation of IM4 via TS8. TS8 is $256.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher than R6. It can be seen that the barrier of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ addition with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is higher than that of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ addition, which may be because the BDE of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond is stronger than that of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}$ bond, which is in agreement with the literature [21, 22].

Table 3 Total and relative energies for every stationary point of different species, barrier height and partial vibrational frequencies involved in various reaction pathways
$E_{\mathrm{T}}$-Total energy, $E_{0}$-Zeropoint energy, $E_{\mathrm{c} —}$ Corrected energy for Zero-point energy, $E_{\text {rel }}-$ Relative energy (with OCS $+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as reference in pathway leading to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, IM1 and IM3, with $\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as reference in pathway leading to other species), $E_{\mathrm{bh}}$-Barrier height, IF-Imaginary frequency

|  | $E_{\mathrm{T}}$ (hartree) | $E_{0}$ (hartree) | $E_{\text {c }}$ (hartree) | $E_{\text {rel }}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $E_{\mathrm{bh}}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $I F\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COS | -511.59832 | 0.00883 | -511.58949 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -1.16663 | 0.01011 | -1.15652 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{COS}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -512.76495 | 0.01894 | -512.74601 | 0.00 |  |  |
| R1 | -512.76798 | 0.02025 | -512.74773 | -4.5 |  |  |
| TS1 | -512.62665 | 0.01719 | -512.60945 | 358.5 | 363.0 | -780.0i |
| P1 | -512.75082 | 0.02064 | -512.73018 | 41.6 |  |  |
| R2 | -512.76768 | 0.01963 | -512.74805 | -5.4 |  |  |
| TS2 | -512.65946 | 0.01943 | -512.64004 | 278.2 | 283.6 | -2028.8i |
| IM1 | -512.76210 | 0.02703 | -512.73507 | 28.7 |  |  |
| TS3 | -512.68233 | 0.02103 | -512.66130 | 222.4 | 193.7 | -1204.6i |
| P2 | -512.75111 | 0.02104 | -512.73007 | 41.9 |  |  |
| R3 | -512.76763 | 0.01957 | -512.74807 | -5.4 |  |  |
| TS4 | -512.65676 | 0.02042 | -512.63634 | 287.9 | 293.3 | -1607.9i |
| IM2 | -512.68414 | 0.02549 | -512.65864 | 229.4 |  |  |
| TS5 | -512.64964 | 0.02030 | -512.62934 | 306.3 | 76.9 | -1206.7i |
| P3 | -512.75036 | 0.02093 | -512.72943 | 43.5 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | -512.74672 | 0.02005 | -512.72667 | 50.8 |  |  |
| CO | -113.34490 | 0.00478 | -113.34012 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | -399.40182 | 0.01527 | -399.38655 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{COS}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -512.76495 | 0.01894 | -512.74601 | 0.00 |  |  |
| R2 | -512.76768 | 0.01963 | -512.74805 | -5.4 |  |  |
| TS2 | -512.65946 | 0.01943 | -512.64004 | 278.2 | 283.6 | -2028.8i |
| IM1 | -512.76210 | 0.02703 | -512.73507 | 28.7 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IM} 1+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -513.92873 | 0.03714 | -513.89159 | 0.0 |  |  |
| R5 | -513.93184 | 0.03875 | -513.89309 | -3.9 |  |  |
| TS6 | -513.80684 | 0.04171 | -513.76513 | 332.0 | 335.9 | -806.4i |
| IM4 | -513.94872 | 0.05014 | -513.89859 | -18.4 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{COS}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -512.76495 | 0.01894 | -512.74601 | 0.00 |  |  |
| R4 | -512.76763 | 0.02004 | -512.74758 | -4.1 |  |  |
| TS7 | -512.64298 | 0.01968 | -512.62330 | 322.2 | 326.3 | -2276.6i |
| IM3 | -512.74687 | 0.03052 | -512.71636 | 77.9 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IM} 3+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -513.91350 | 0.04062 | -513.87288 | 0.0 |  |  |
| R6 | -513.92465 | 0.04168 | -513.88296 | -26.5 |  |  |
| TS8 | -513.82774 | 0.04248 | -513.78526 | 230.0 | 256.5 | -1880.8i |
| IM4 | -513.94872 | 0.05014 | -513.89859 | -67.5 |  |  |
| IM4+ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -515.11535 | 0.06024 | -515.05511 | 0.0 |  |  |
| R7 | -515.11724 | 0.06179 | -515.05545 | -0.9 |  |  |
| TS9 | -515.01649 | 0.05818 | -514.95831 | 254.2 | 255.1 | -469.0i |
| P4 | -515.13981 | 0.06592 | -515.07390 | -49.3 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IM} 5+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ | -515.13556 | 0.06550 | -515.07006 | -39.2 |  |  |
| IM5 | -115.73374 | 0.05023 | -115.68351 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{IM} 5+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | -116.90037 | 0.06033 | -116.84003 | 0.0 |  |  |
| R8 | -116.90297 | 0.06275 | -116.84022 | -0.5 |  |  |
| TS10 | -116.77277 | 0.05813 | -116.71464 | 329.2 | 329.7 | -1324.4i |
| P5 | -116.94719 | 0.06609 | -116.88110 | -107.8 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -116.94520 | 0.06520 | -116.88000 | -104.9 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | -76.44643 | 0.02118 | -76.42525 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -40.49877 | 0.04402 | -40.45475 |  |  |  |



Fig. 2 Schematic energy profiles for the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS leading to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ along the three possible pathways described in Scheme 1

Starting from the intermediate IM4, as shown in Fig. 1, the bond length for $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ is $1.424 \AA$ and $1.862 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C} 2-$ S 3 bond in IM4. The comparison between the BDE of $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in $\mathrm{HS}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(312.5 \pm 4.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)[25]$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(384.93 \pm 0.71 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ [26] in experiment suggests that the breaking $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ bond is strong and the breaking S3-C2 bond is weak in IM4, and the corresponding barrier of $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ bond cleavage via $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reaction is higher than that of $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{S} 3$ bond cleavage. So $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ easily attacks the end S and center C of IM4 leading to reactant-like intermediate R7, R7 is converted to P4 via a concerted four-center transition state TS9 of H8-H9 and C2-S3 bond cleavage with a barrier height of $255.1 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. P4, the complex of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and IM5, forms the products of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and IM5. Then, IM5 further interact with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to yield intermediate R8, R8 starts with a concerted $\mathrm{H} 7-\mathrm{H} 8$ and $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond cleavage at transition state TS10, which was found to be $329.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than R8. This is significantly lower than the BDE of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, which may be attributed to the synchronous effect of $\mathrm{H} 7-\mathrm{H} 8$ bond cleavage of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} 1-$ O 2 bond cleavage. Then, P6 can form by-products $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$.

After this, according to the above discussion about the reaction mechanisms of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS. It is concluded that the determining step of Paths 4 and 5 is that via TS6 and TS10, respectively. And the corresponding activation
barrier are 335.9 and $329.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively. At the same time, in the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS leading to products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, the corresponding activation barriers of two possible reaction pathways via TS2 and TS4 are 283.6 and $293.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively. It can be seen that the activation barrier of TS6 and TS10 is much higher than that of TS2 and TS4, which shows the reaction pathways forming products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ are the most favorable in dynamics. Therefore, the pathway forming CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is the major reaction, and the pathway producing $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is a side reaction, which is in line with previous experimental findings very well $[6,8]$.

The rate constant for the reaction paths 2 and 3
As mentioned above, Paths 2 and 3 may be parallel reactions. To understand the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS at different temperature from the kinetic point of view, the activation thermodynamic parameters of the rate determining step in Paths 2 and 3 in the temperature range of $298.15 \sim 700 \mathrm{~K}$ and the corresponding rate constant have been calculated. The data are listed in Table 4. The change of activation thermodynamic properties is the difference between the activation thermodynamic data of transition state (TS2, TS4) and that of R2, R3 [13, 27, 28].

According to the activation entropy $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{S}^{\emptyset}$ data in Table 4, it can be seen that these are entropy-decreasing pathways with increasing temperature. The activation free energy $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{G}^{\emptyset}$ increases in the temperature range of $298.15 \sim 700 \mathrm{~K}$. In the whole temperature range, it is the synthesis effect of the activation free energy together with the product of the activation entropy and temperature that causes the activation enthalpy $\Delta^{\neq}{ }_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\emptyset}$ to decrease slowly and monotonously with increasing temperature. This result shows that the activation enthalpy and free energy is an activation thermodynamic property which relates with temperature [29].

Finally, the rate constants for these two reaction pathways have been obtained using Eyring's transition state theory (TST) $[30,31]$. From Table 4, it can be seen that the rate constant $k$ increases rapidly with increasing temperature, but the increasing extent becomes small when the temperature is higher. At the same temperature, the rate constant of Path 2 is larger than that of Path 3, and this

Table 4 The activation enthalpy $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{H}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, activation free energy $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{G}^{\emptyset}$ $\left(\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$, activation entropy $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{S}^{\emptyset}\left(\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{K}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$, activation energy ( $\mathrm{kJ} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ), rate constant $k\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$
for Paths 2 and 3

|  | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{H}^{\emptyset}$ | $\Delta^{\neq} \mathrm{r}^{\emptyset}$ | $\Delta^{\neq}{ }_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{G}^{\emptyset}$ | $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $k$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Path 2 | 298.15 | 279.885 | -26.568 | 287.807 | 282.364 | $2.34 \times 10^{-38}$ |
|  | 500 | 279.233 | -28.865 | 293.665 | 283.390 | $2.18 \times 10^{-18}$ |
|  | 700 | 278.814 | -29.434 | 299.418 | 284.634 | $6.61 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Path 3 | 298.15 | 291.374 | -39.832 | 303.249 | 293.852 | $4.60 \times 10^{-41}$ |
|  | 500 | 289.302 | -45.396 | 312.001 | 293.459 | $2.64 \times 10^{-20}$ |
|  | 700 | 289.235 | -45.580 | 321.142 | 295.055 | $1.58 \times 10^{-11}$ |

sequence does not change with the temperature change, which implies that Path 2 is superior to Path 3. In addition, with the increasing temperature, especially in the high temperature, the competitive capacity of Path 3 increases, which means that when the reaction of Path 2 is occurring, a small reaction of Path 3 also occurs. Combining with the analysis of activation energy, we think that it is greatly reasonable for Path 2 existing in the form of the main reaction path to form the major products CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. This computational result of kinetic reaction is the same as the experimental findings.

## Conclusions

Density functional theory is used to explore the potential energy surface for the reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS, and the detailed reaction mechanisms of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with OCS are presented. Five intermediates and ten transition states are located along the reaction pathways. The calculated results show that the production of CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is the major reaction pathway, which is consistent with the experimental results. And the main reaction pathway leading to the formation of CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is that H atom of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ attacks the center C atom and end S atom in OCS.
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